Volume 6, Number 4, December, 2023

ISSN (Print): 2651-6144; ISSN (Online): 2651-6365 Website:http://www.jasd.daee.atbu.edu.ng

# ADOPTION OF IMPROVED CASSAVA (Manihot spp) PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGIES IN ISOKO NORTH AND SOUTH LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS OF DELTA STATE, NIGERIA

<sup>1\*</sup>Owigho, O., <sup>2</sup>Eromedoghene, E. O. and <sup>2</sup>Ovwigho, B. O.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Economics & Extension, Faculty of Agriculture, Delta State
University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, P.M.B. 5 Delta State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Extension, Delta State University, Abraka Campus, P.M.B. 95074,

Asaba Delta State, Nigeria

\*Corresponding Author's Email: <a href="mailto:owighoovo@gmail.com">owighoovo@gmail.com</a> GSM: +2348033104413

### **ABSTRACT**

The study examined the adoption of improved cassava production technologies in Isoko North and South Local Government Areas of Delta State, Nigeria. Simple random and snowball sampling techniques were used to select 297 cassava farmers. Data were collected using a structured interview schedule. Data were analyzed using frequency counts, percentages, mean, and multiple regression. Majority (61.60%) were females. The mean age of the farmers was 41.20 years. Majority of the cassava farmers completed their secondary school education. Majority (63.40%) of the farmers adopted planting date. The cassava farmers agreed that improved cassava cultivars have a higher yield than local cultivars ( $\bar{x} = 2.88$ ), they are disease resistant ( $\bar{x} = 2.50$ ), improved cassava cultivars have more tuber yield per stand ( $\bar{x} = 2.73$ ) and improved cassava cultivars mature very fast ( $\bar{x} = 2.91$ ). The constraints facing cassava farmers on the adoption of improved cassava technologies include inadequate access/unavailability of planting materials ( $\bar{x} = 3.49$ ), lack of agricultural inputs like fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides ( $\bar{x} = 3.01$ ) and irregular visits by extension agents ( $\bar{x} = 3.14$ ). There was a significant difference between the adoption of improved cassava technologies and education (t=2.793, p=0.006). The study concluded that most cassava farmers were middle age, meaning they could carry out manual farming. Adoption of improved cassava production technologies was low. Thus, there was a large difference between the technologies and adoption. It was recommended, among others, that the Delta Agricultural Development Project intensify efforts at making farmers adopt cassava production technologies. Keywords: Adoption, Cassava, Improve, Production and Technologies

# **INTRODUCTION**

Cassava (*Manihot* spp) is a widely grown root crop in Africa, particularly in Nigeria, where it is the largest producer in the world. Nigeria's cassava production surpasses that of Brazil, Indonesia, and Thailand. It is a crucial crop for food security, with the capacity to yield well under marginal soil conditions and tolerate drought. Cassava is a cheap and reliable food source for over 700 million people in the developing world (Ukpong-Umo, 2022).

According to Mtunguja *et al.* (2019), in Nigeria, cassava is consumed as food and used for industrial purposes such as starch, alcohol, pharmaceuticals and confectioneries production. Most cassava produced is consumed as food, while a smaller portion is utilized for industrial purposes. Different regions in Nigeria have varying consumption patterns based on ecological zones (Chiaka *et al.*, 2022). Cassava is processed into popular products like *garri* and *fufu*, which are widely consumed nationwide. The demand for cassava and its products is expected to grow rapidly particularly in sub-Saharan Africa. The Nigerian government has significantly emphasized cassava production to meet the target of 60 million tons by 2030. Improved cassava technologies, including

Volume 6, Number 4, December, 2023

ISSN (Print): 2651-6144; ISSN (Online): 2651-6365 Website:http://www.jasd.daee.atbu.edu.ng

cultivars with high yield, disease resistance and low cyanide acid content have been introduced to farmers alongside traditional practices. However, farmers' adoption of these improved cassava technologies has been limited leading to low output and challenges in cassava production. Farmers often face constraints such as inadequate information, limited access to efficient markets and poor infrastructure. These constraints result in selling unprocessed cassava tubers at lower profit margins. Furthermore, small-scale farmers who predominantly rely on traditional farming systems face difficulties in meeting the country's food production needs. Environmental hazards, population growth and climate change also contribute to food crises in Nigeria.

The study aims to evaluate the adoption of improved cassava technologies in Isoko North and South Local Government Areas of Delta State, Nigeria. The specific objectives were to.

- i. describe the socioeconomic characteristics of cassava farmers
- ii. determine the level of adoption of improved cassava production technologies,
- iii. examine farmers' perceptions on improved cassava technologies, and
- iv. identify constraints related to their use.

# Hypothesis

Ho: There is no significant relationship between adoption of improved cassava production technologies and the selected socioeconomic characteristics of cassava farmers.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### The Study Area

The study was carried out in Isoko North and South Local Government Areas of Delta State. The Isoko South and North Local Government Areas of Delta State lie approximately between latitudes 5°10′N and 5°35′N and Longitudes 6°00′E and 6°25′E. The area falls within the rainforest belt of 5°N in the Niger Delta region in Nigeria. Cassava is the most cultivated arable crop, and its cultivation is restricted to the upland communities in the study area. This is because most of the Local Government Area is waterlogged because of the low elevation level. (wikipedia.org/wiki/Isoko region). The communities in Isoko North noted for cassava cultivation include Aradhe, Ellu, Emevor, Idheze, Ofagbe, Okpe-isoko, Otor-igho, Owhelogbo, and Ozoro. The communities in Isoko South known for cassava cultivation include Oleh, Emede, Olomoro, Igbide, Enhwe, and Uzere.

### **Sampling Procedure**

The population for the study consisted of all the cassava farmers registered and unregistered with the Delta State Agricultural Development Programme. Purposive sampling was used to select the cassava farming communities. Twenty percent of the registered farmers were randomly selected. Snowball sampling was used to select the unregistered cassava farmers. One registered farmer was made to identify two (2) unregistered cassava farmers by snowball sampling technique. This gave a sample size of two 297 cassava farmers.

### **Method of Data Analysis**

Data collected were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Socioeconomic characteristics, adoption, perception and constraints were analyzed using frequency, percentage, and mean while multiple regression was used to analyze the hypothesis.

The regression equation is stated thus:

$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_4X_4 + b_5X_5 + b_6X_6 + b_7X_7 + b_8X_8 + e$$

$$Where Y dependent variable = Adoption (index)$$

$$a = Constant$$

$$\dots(1)$$

Volume 6, Number 4, December, 2023

ISSN (Print): 2651-6144; ISSN (Online): 2651-6365 Website:http://www.jasd.daee.atbu.edu.ng

 $X_1$ = Gender (Male=1, Female=0)  $X_2$ = Age (Years)  $X_3$ = Educational level (Years)  $X_4$  = Farm Size (Ha)

 $X_5$ = Farm Income (Naira)  $X_6$ = Household size (No. of person)

X<sub>7</sub>= Extension Contact (Yes=1, NO=0) X<sub>8</sub>=Cosmopolitan behavior (Yes=1, NO=0)

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Sex Distribution of Farmers:** The results in Table 1 showed that majority (61.60%) were female. This implies that the proportion of females was higher than male cassava farmers. This finding agreed with Okonya (2014) who observed that women in sub-Saharan Africa contributed 70 to 75% of agricultural food production in rural areas.

**Age Distribution:** The highest percentage distribution of respondents according to age in Table 1 was 31-40 years (35.69%) and the lowest was 60 years (6.40%). The mean average of 41.20 indicated that the cassava farmers were relatively young. This is an advantage for adopting and spreading innovation practices since young people are more likely to accept new innovations than older people. Consequently, they could serve better as agents of innovation transfer. This finding agreed with lyagba and Ovai (2015); Owigho *et al.* (2023) who posited that there is a high level of involvement of young people farmers in Nigeria.

**Marital Status:** Table 1 shows that majority of the cassava farmers were married (71.72%) while others were single (20.20%) and widow (8.08%). This result agreed with Eromedoghene and Ovwigho (2019); Odjebor *et al.* (2022) found that rural areas have a high proportion of married farmers, and the need to support the family's needs explains why married households predominate in farming.

**Educational Level of Cassava Farmers:** The percentage distribution of cassava farmers according to level of education was presented in Table 1. The result shows that 22.90% of farmers had non-formal education, 0.67% had attained primary education, 45.45% had secondary education and 30.98% had attained Post-secondary education. Education gives an advantage for the adoption and transfer of technologies, and it is also a crucial factor in promoting the adoption of successful innovations (Onyemma *et al.*, 2020).

**Farm Size:** The average farm size of respondents in the study area was 1.23 hectares. This implies that the cassava farmers were small-scale farmers. This finding agrees with Eromedoghene *et al.* (2023) that average farm holdings were between 1-2 hectares, and Ajieh (2014) stated that the small-scale farmers had between 0.5 to 3.5 hectares. As a result of the land tenure system in Africa, and Nigeria in particular, lands are fragmented. The crude implement used by our farmers limits the scale of production.

**Household Size:** In Table 1 the average household size was 3 persons. Ntege-Nanyeenya *et al.* (1997) observed that household size was important in providing rural farmers with labour required for agricultural activities.

Farm Income: The results in Table 1 show an average income of ¥165, 168.35 to cassava farmers per annum in the study area. This indicates that the cassava farmers were mainly small-scale farmers with attendant low income.

**Extension contacts:** In Table 1, majority 67.70% had contact with extension agents. This is at variance with realities on the ground currently. Umar *et al.* (2022) posited that there is an inadequate supply and number of extension workers who might affect the level of adoption of the improved technologies production leading to low yield.

**Table 1: Socioeconomic Characteristics of Respondents** 



Volume 6, Number 4, December, 2023

| ISSN (Print): 2651-6144; ISSN (Onlin | e:http://www.jasd.dae | ttp://www.jasd.daee.atbu.edu.ng |                     |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Variable (n=297)                     | Frequency/year        | · Percentage                    | Mean                |  |
| Gender                               |                       |                                 |                     |  |
| Male                                 | 114                   | 38.40                           |                     |  |
| Female                               | 183                   | 61.60                           |                     |  |
| Age                                  |                       |                                 |                     |  |
| 20-30                                | 63                    | 21.21                           |                     |  |
| 31-40                                | 106                   | 35.69                           |                     |  |
| 41-50                                | 64                    | 21.55                           | 41.20               |  |
| 50-60                                | 45.00                 | 15.15                           |                     |  |
| Above 60                             | 19                    | 6.40                            |                     |  |
| Marital Status                       |                       |                                 |                     |  |
| Married                              | 213                   | 71.72                           |                     |  |
| Single                               | 59                    | 20.20                           |                     |  |
| Widowed                              | 25                    | 8.08                            |                     |  |
| <b>Education Level</b>               |                       |                                 |                     |  |
| No formal education                  | 68                    | 22.90                           |                     |  |
| Primary education                    | 2                     | 0.67                            |                     |  |
| Secondary education                  | 135                   | 45.45                           |                     |  |
| Post-secondary                       | 92                    | 30.98                           |                     |  |
| Farm size (ha)                       |                       |                                 |                     |  |
| 0.50-1.00                            | 175                   | 58.92                           |                     |  |
| 1.01-2.49                            | 98                    | 33.00                           | 1.23ha              |  |
| 2.50-4.49                            | 20                    | 6.73                            |                     |  |
| 4.50 and above                       | 4                     | 1.35                            |                     |  |
| Farm Income ( <del>N</del> )         |                       |                                 |                     |  |
| 10,000-50,000                        | 82                    | 27.60                           |                     |  |
| 50,001-100,000                       | 62                    | 20.80                           |                     |  |
| 100,001-150,000                      | 37                    | 11.50                           |                     |  |
| 150,001-200,000                      | 27                    | 9.0                             |                     |  |
| >200,000                             | 99                    | 28.9                            | <b>№</b> 165,708.35 |  |
| Household size (no. of persons)      |                       |                                 |                     |  |
| 1-3                                  | 236                   | 79.5                            | 3 persons           |  |
| 4-6                                  | 60                    | 20.2                            |                     |  |
| 7-9                                  | 1                     | 0.30                            |                     |  |
| Extension contacts                   |                       |                                 |                     |  |
| Yes                                  | 201                   | 67.70                           |                     |  |
| No                                   | 96                    | 15.50                           |                     |  |
| Yes                                  | 201                   | 67.70                           |                     |  |

**Source:** Field survey, 2019

# Percentage Level of Adoption of Improved Cultural practices.

Table 2 showed that the technologies which cassava farmers adopted included planting space (55.5%), planting date (63.4%), intercrop with cover crops (63.3%) and weeding (41.1%). Technologies in which cassava farmers recorded low adoption included fertilizer application

Volume 6, Number 4, December, 2023

ISSN (Print): 2651-6144; ISSN (Online): 2651-6365 Website:http://www.jasd.daee.atbu.edu.ng

(40.3%), disease control (40.6%), pest control (36.9%), length of stem cuttings of 25cm (27.9%) and planting depth (29.56%).

Table 2: Distribution of cassava farmers according to recommended Cultural practices.

| S/N | Improved Cultural Practices (n=297)                    | Frequency   | Frequency of | Remark   |
|-----|--|-------------|--------------|----------|
|     |  | of adopters | non-adopters |          |
| 1   | Planting space 1m×1m                                   | 162 (54.5)  | 133(44.5)    | Moderate |
| 2   | Planting date (April-June & AugOct.                    | 165(55.6)   | 132(44.4)    | Moderate |
| 3   | Intercrop with cover crops melon,                      | 188(63.3)   | 109(36.7)    | High     |
| 4   | cowpea   | 152(51.5)   | 1.4.4.(40.7) | 3.6.1    |
| 4   | Planting depth 10-15cm                                 | 153(51.5)   | 144(48.5)    | Moderate |
| 5   | Length of stem cutting (25cm)                          | 87(29.3)    | 210(70.7)    | Low      |
| 6   | Pest control using Scientific/chemical method          | 83(27.9)    | 214(72.1)    | Low      |
| 7   | Disease control using the                              | 109(36.7)   | 188(63.3)    | Low      |
|     | Scientific/Chemical method                             | ,           | ,            |          |
| 8   | Fertilizer application (Organic)                       | 121(40.7)   | 176(59.3)    | Low      |
| 9   | Weeding (first weeding manually followed by herbicides | 122(41.1)   | 175(58.9)    | Low      |

Source: Field survey (2019). Values in parenthesis are percentage.

Very Low (0-25%), Low (26-49%), Moderate (50-60%), High (61-70%), Very High (71% and above).

# Cassava farmers' perception of the improved cassava cultivars

Cassava farmers' perception of the improved cassava cultivars was presented in Table 3. The cassava farmers agreed that improved cassava cultivars have a higher yield than local cultivars  $(\bar{x} = 2.88)$ ; they are disease resistance  $(\bar{x} = 2.50)$ , improved cassava cultivars have more tuber yield per stand ( $\bar{x} = 2.73$ ) and improved cassava cultivars mature very fast ( $\bar{x} = 2.91$ ). The findings corroborate the view of Babasanya et al. (2013) that the benefits of improved cassava to farmers were higher yield, weed suppressors and high starch content. However, the cassava farmers disagreed with the statement that improved cassava cultivars are susceptible to pests ( $\bar{x} = 2.16$ ); improved cassava cultivars are good for garri, tapioca and fufu ( $\bar{x} = 1.71$ ), improved cassava cultivars have high fibre content ( $\bar{x} = 1.71$ ), improved cultivars produce high quantity garri ( $\bar{x} = 1.71$ ) 1.73), the tubers does not get rotten even long after harvesting ( $\bar{x} = 1.57$ ) and are not drought tolerant like local cultivars ( $\bar{x} = 2.01$ ). The implications of these findings suggest that technology developers should evaluate the perception of beneficiaries after introducing the technology. Victor et al. (2021); Adrian et al. (2005) and Enyong et al. (1999) noted that while introducing new technology to farmers, understanding their attitudes and views can provide light on the reasons behind their adoption. Oladeji (2011) argued that the perceived advantages were closely related to farmers' attitudes towards innovations.

Volume 6, Number 4, December, 2023

ISSN (Print): 2651-6144; ISSN (Online): 2651-6365 Website:http://www.jasd.daee.atbu.edu.ng

Table 3: Farmers' perception of improved cassava technologies.

| S/N | Statement  | Standard Deviation | Mean | Remark    |
|-----|--|--------------------|------|-----------|
| 1.  | Improved cassava cultivars produce higher yield  | 1.5379             | 2.88 | Agreed    |
| 2.  | than local cultivars Improved cassava cultivars are more disease   | 1 3952             | 2.50 | Agreed    |
| ۷.  | resistant compared to local cultivars  | 1.3732             | 2.30 | rigicca   |
| 3.  | The improved cassava cultivars are drought tolerant compared to local cultivars                                  | 1.3668             | 2.01 | Disagreed |
| 4.  | Improved cassava cultivars are susceptible to  | 1.3667             | 2.16 | Disagreed |
| 5.  | pest The improved cassava cultivars are good for   | 1.2366             | 1.71 | Disagreed |
| _   | garri, tapioca and fufu  | 4 5000             |      |           |
| 6.  | The number of tubers per stand are usually more<br>for improved cassava cultivars compared to<br>local cultivars | 1.5233             | 2.73 | Agreed    |
| 7.  | The improved cassava cultivars mature very fast  | 1.5375             | 2.91 | Agreed    |
| 8.  | The improved cassava cultivars have high fibre content.  |                    | 1.71 | Disagreed |
| 9.  | Improved cassava cultivars produce high quantity of garri  | 1.3330             | 1.73 | Disagreed |
| 10. | The tuber of the improved cassava does not get rotten even long after harvesting.                                | 1.1694             | 1.57 | Disagreed |

**Source:** Field survey (2019) Cut-off mean 2.50

### Socio-economic factors that influence the adoption of improved cassava technologies

The multiple regression analysis in Table 5 shows that 63.4 % variation in adoption was explained by socioeconomic characteristics of farmers. However, farm income, household size and extension contact had a significant relationship with adoption of improved cassava technologies at  $P \le 0.05$ . The positive sign borne by the coefficient indicates that an increase in the level of formal education, led to an increase in the level of adoption. Education gives an advantage for adopting and transferring technologies and is also a crucial factor in promoting the adoption of successful innovations (Onyemma *et al.*, 2020). Extension contact has a positive and significant relationship with the adoption of improved cassava technologies (t=2.011,  $P \le 0.05$ ). This is in accordance with a priori expectation that extension contacts influence adoption of technologies. In view of this, the higher the number of extension contacts, the higher the level of adoption of improved cassava technologies. Ebewore and Isiorhovoja (2019) found that the frequency of extension contacts enhanced the adoption of improved technologies in Delta State, Nigeria.



Volume 6, Number 4, December, 2023

ISSN (Print): 2651-6144; ISSN (Online): 2651-6365 Website:http://www.jasd.daee.atbu.edu.ng

Table 5: Socio-economic factors that influence the adoption of improved cassava technologies

| Variables                | Coefficient | Std. Error | T- Values        |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|------------------|
| Constant                 | 111.879     | 5.209      | 21.476***        |
| Gender                   | 1.334       | 1.771      | $0.754^{ m NS}$  |
| Age                      | -0.027      | 0.092      | $-0.295^{ m NS}$ |
| Education                | 1.627       | 0.583      | 2.793**          |
| Farm Size                | -0.565      | 1.792      | $-0.315^{NS}$    |
| Farm Income              | 1.113       | 0.654      | 1.701*           |
| Household size           | 1.709       | 0.518      | 3.299***         |
| <b>Extension Contact</b> | 4.704       | 2.339      | 2.011*           |
| F-statistics             | 14.02*      |            |                  |
| Adj. R-square            | 0.412       |            |                  |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$           | 0.634       |            |                  |

**Source:** Field survey (2019) \*\*\* = Significant at 1% level of significance

### Constraints to the use of improved cassava technologies

The constraints to the use of improved cassava technologies were presented in Table 4. All the constraints were serious factors affecting the use of cassava technologies. These constraints include inadequate access/unavailability of planting materials ( $\bar{x} = 3.49$ ), long distance and poor transportation services to purchase improved cassava cultivars ( $\bar{x} = 3.11$ ), high cost of improved cassava cultivars ( $\bar{x} = 3.23$ ), problem of diseases and pests control for improved cultivars ( $\bar{x} =$ 3.08), lack of information on improved cassava cultivars ( $\bar{x} = 3.23$ ), low level of farmers' education on improved cassava technologies ( $\bar{x} = 2.89$ ), lack of agricultural inputs like fertilizers, herbicides and pesticides ( $\bar{x} = 3.01$ ) and irregular visit by extension agents ( $\bar{x} = 3.14$ ). Many researchers have devised similar constraints about cassava production in different locations. For instance, Sanusi et al. (2020) stated that lack of agricultural inputs, including fertilizers, herbicides and insecticides was one of the factors limiting cassava development, it was discovered. Victor et al. (2021) observed that the availability of farm input supplies and efficient transportation networks promotes agricultural development and encourages increased output. Mwangombe et al. (2013) found that diseases and pests have greatly threatened cassava production because the farmers' inability to identify the diseases and susceptible planting materials. Ighaifua et al. (2022) stated that inadequate information on innovation availability could limit farmers' adoption. Ebewore and Isiorhovoja (2019) reported that poor extension delivery would result in low adoption and effectiveness of extension delivery influences farmers' adoption. The findings also agreed with Igbaifua et al. (2022) who reported that price of agricultural inputs and farmers' lack of knowledge were the main barriers to adopting advised practices.

<sup>\* =</sup>Significant at 5% level of significance

Volume 6, Number 4, December, 2023

ISSN (Print): 2651-6144; ISSN (Online): 2651-6365 Website:http://www.jasd.daee.atbu.edu.ng

Table 4: Constraints related to the use of improved technologies.

| S/N | Statements  | Std    | Mean | Remark  |
|-----|---|--------|------|---------|
| 1.  | Inadequate access/unavailability of planting materials                              | 0.8586 | 3.49 | Serious |
| 2.  | Lack of information on improved cassava cultivars                                   | 0.9271 | 3.23 | Serious |
| 3.  | High cost of improved cassava cultivars   | 0.9271 | 3.23 | Serious |
| 4.  | Irregular visits by extension agents  | 0.9682 | 3.14 | Serious |
| 5.  | Lack of agricultural inputs like fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides.           | 1.0701 | 3.01 | Serious |
| 6.  | Low level of education on improved cassava technologies.                            | 1.1164 | 2.89 | Serious |
| 7.  | Long distance / poor transportation services to purchase improved cassava cultivars | 0.9360 | 3.11 | Serious |
| 8.  | Problems of diseases and pests' control for improved cassava cultivars.             | 1.0554 | 3.08 | Serious |

Source: field survey 2019 Cut-off mean = 2.50

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

From the results of the study, it can be concluded that Most cassava farmers were middle Age, meaning they could carry out manual farming. Adoption of improved cassava production technologies was low. Thus, there was a large difference between the technologies and adoption. However, the cassava farmers have an unfavourable perception of the improved cassava cultivars hence they still depend on local cultivars. There are lots of constraints preventing cassava farmers from using the improved cassava production technologies. Based on the findings, the following recommendations were made:

- i. The Delta Agricultural Development Project should intensify efforts at making farmers to adopt cassava production technologies as there is large gap between the technology development and adoption.
- ii. Cassava farmers should avail themselves of extension information and adult education because education enhances innovation adoption.
- iii. The government should provide practical learning centers for cassava farmers to display the yield of improved cassava cultivars by farmers who have adopted the improved cassava technologies as a permanent solution to their production problems.

### REFERENCES

- Adrian, A. M., Norwood, S. H. and Mask, P. L. (2005). Producers' Perceptions and Attitudes toward Precision Agriculture Technologies. *Journal of Agriculture*, **48**:256-271.
- Ajieh, P. C. (2014). Adoption of Improved Cassava Production and Processing Technologies in Delta State. *Indian Research. Journal Extension Education*, **14**(1):23-33.
- Chiaka, J. C., Zhen, L. and Xiao, Y. (2022). Changing Food Consumption Patterns and Land Requirements for Food in the Six Geopolitical Zones in Nigeria. *Foods*, **11**(2):150. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11020150">https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11020150</a>
- Ebewore, S. O. and Isiorhovoja, R. A. (2019). Knowledge Status and Disease Control Practices of Cassava Farmers in Delta State, Nigeria: Implications for Extension Delivery. *Open Agriculture*, **4**(1):173–186. https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2019-0017

Volume 6, Number 4, December, 2023

ISSN (Print): 2651-6144; ISSN (Online): 2651-6365 Website:http://www.jasd.daee.atbu.edu.ng

Eromedoghene, E. O. and Ovwigho, B. O. (2019). Construction of socioeconomic characteristics

- Eromedoghene, E. O. and Ovwigho, B. O. (2019). Construction of socioeconomic characteristics status indices for arable farmers in isoko north and south local government area of delta state, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and food environment*, **6**(3):1-14.
- Eromedoghene, E. O., Owigho, O., Ovwigho, B. O. and Ofuoku, A. U. (2023). Socioeconomic impact of agricultural entrepreneurial programme (YAGEP) of Delta State Government on Beneficiaries. *Journal of Xidian University*, **17**(5):720 748.
- Enyong, L. A., Debrah, S. K. and Bationo, A. (1999). Farmers' perceptions and attitudes towards introduced soil fertility enhancing technologies in western Africa. *Nutrient Cycle Agro ecosystem.*; 53:177-187.
- Mtunguja, M., Beckles, D., Laswai, H., Ndunguru, J. and Sinha, N. (2019). Opportunities to Commercialize Cassava Production for Poverty Alleviation and Improved Food Security in Tanzania. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*, **19**(01):13928–13946. https://doi.org/10.18697/ajfand.84.blfb1037
- Ntege-Nanyeeya, W. M., Mugisa-Mutetikka, W., Mwangi, N. and Verkuiji, H. (1997). Assessment of factors Affecting Adoption of maize production Technologies in Ganga District, Addis Abba, Ethiopia.
- Igbaifua, W., Adeniyi, O. and Omolehin, R. (2022). Analysis of Technical, Allocative and Economic Efficiencies of Vitamin A Cassava Farmers with Other Improved Cassava Farmers in Benue State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Policy*, **5**(1):1–23. https://doi.org/10.47941/jap.777
- Odjebor, U., Giweze, A. E. and Owigho, O. (2022). Knowledge of Food Safety Practices Among Pap Processors in Ughelli North and South Local Government Areas of Delta Central Senatorial District of Delta State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Innovative Food, Nutrition & Sustainable Agriculture*, **10**(4):20-26.
- Okonya, J. S., Syndikus, K. and Kroshel, J. (2014). Farmers perceptions and coping strategies to climate change: Evidence from six agro-ecological zones of Uganda. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 5: 252-263.
- Owigho, O., Evwierhurhoma, F. E. and Akeni, T. E. (2023). Opportunities and Challenges of Organic Farming in Delta State, Nigeria. *Innovations journal*, **72**(3):34 39.
- Sanusi, S. O., Adedeji, I. A., Madaki, M. J., Udoh, G. and Abdullahi, Z. Y. (2020). Economic Analysis of Cassava Production: Prospects and Challenges in Irepodun Local Government Area, Kwara State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Emerging Scientific Research*, 1(2):28–32. https://doi.org/10.37121/ijesr.vol1.138.
- Ukpong-Umo, R. (2022). Sustained Adoption of Improved Cassava Varieties and Food Security in Nigeria: Implication for Rural Development. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Social Sciences and Strategic Management Techniques*, **9**(1):1–11. https://Doi.Org/10.48028/Iiprds/Ijirsssmt.V9.I1.01
- Umar, A. J., Idi, S. and Bose, A. A. (2022). Adoption Of Improved Maize (*Zea Mays* L.) Production Technologies Among Farmers in Western Zone of Bauchi State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agripreneurship and Sustainable Development*, **5**(2):12-24.
- Victor, U. U., Godwin, M. N. and Aloysius C. O. (2021). Adoption of Improved Cassava Production Technologies Among Small-Scale Farmers in Anambra State, Nigeria. *Journal of Plant Sciences*, **9**(4):4159. <a href="https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jps.20210904.11">https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jps.20210904.11</a>.